UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/742,910	12/23/2003	Sergio Mansuino	1729-420	7169
6449 7590 05/03/2007 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			ALEXANDER	ALEXANDER, REGINALD
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1761	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/742,910	MANSUINO, SERGIO		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Reginald L. Alexander	1761		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•	1		
Period fo	or Reply	•	·		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	·	•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma	arch 2007.	•		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7,16 and 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		e Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 8-15 in the reply filed on 01 September 2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buhse.

There is disclosed in Buhse an ice-cream cone (food product) formed as a half-shell having a mouth delimited by an annular surface and a side wall, in which the mouth annular surface and the side wall surface have a smooth surface finish, and the outer surface on the side wall has a porous, continuous region 7 which extends peripherally and is receded relative to the mouth annular surface of the half-shell.

Claims 10-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aasted.

There is disclosed in Aasted a food product comprising two wafer half-shells 10, 10; 12', 13'; 12", 13"; 12"', 13"' coupled one to the other along annular mating surfaces defining their mouth profile, wherein the annular mating surfaces of each of the half-shells have centering means complementary one to the other.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/742,910

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aasted in view of Buhse.

Buhse, as discussed above discloses a porous, continuous region extending peripherally and which is receded relative to an annular surface of a half-shell.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form the food product of Aasted with a peripherally extending member as taught in Buhse, in order to limit contact of portions of the food product when stacked upon each other.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 16 March 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art reference of Bushe fails to disclose an outer surface and peripherally extending surface which have a porous finish. While it is stated in Bushe that the outer surface and extending element can be coated with chocolate, it is also taught that preferably they would not be coated. In Bushe at col. 1, lines 9-27 and col. 2, lines 62-65 it is taught that the internal surface of the cone is to be coated while the exterior remains as a baked pastry surface.

In response to applicants argument, that even without the outer chocolate surface coating, the cone of Bushe would have arguably the same smooth surface finish. It should be noted that it is known in the art that a baked pastry item would have

Art Unit: 1761

a porous finish to it baring any modifications thereto. Wafer batter always bakes to a porous finish.

Applicant argues that because Aasted uses thermoplastic food materials and the heating and cooling processes used to join them, it fails to disclose all of the features recited in claim 10. A review of the claim fails to disclose any limiting features which would preclude the materials or methods used in Aasted. The structural limitations of the claim have been met by Aasted.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

rla

28 April 2007

Reginald L. Alexander

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761